

775
LABOUR DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 27th July, 1967

No. 66473-Lab-67/21879.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal, Haryana in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of M/S Calcutta Cloth Mills, Faridabad and sixteen others and M/S. Om Parkash Bhartia Weaving Factory, Faridabad.

P.N. BHALLA,

Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour and Employment Department.

BEFORE SHRI K.L. GOSAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

Reference No. 19 of 1966

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S CALCUTTA CLOTH MILLS,
FARIDABAD AND SIXTEEN OTHERS

and

Reference No. 8 of 1966

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S OM PARKASH BHARTIA
WEAVING FACTORY, FARIDABAD

Present: Shri Jaswant Singh, for the management.

Shri Satish Loomba, for the workmen.

AWARD

A Trade Union known as the Textile Mazdoor Union (Regd.) Faridabad, which is affiliated to the All India Trade Union Congress, issued demand notices to 17 Industrial Establishments whose names are specified in the Notification of the Punjab Government No. 162-SF-III-Lab-I-66/8896, dated 19th March, 1966. All the demand notices raised precisely the same dispute and all of them were more or less carbon copies of the same notice. Conciliation proceedings were taken with regard to the said demand notices but did not succeed with the result that the Conciliation Officer made one common failure report in all the cases. As the dispute mentioned in all the demand notices was precisely the same and affected 17 different units, the Punjab Government decided to refer the said dispute for adjudication under clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act and issued the aforesaid Notification. The two items of dispute as mentioned in the said Notification are as under:

1. Whether the workmen be paid wages according to the Punjab Government Notification No. 55-CA-XI/48/S-5/65, dated 4-3-1965 ? If so, with what details and from which date?
2. Whether the categories of workmen, which are not covered under the Punjab Government Notification No. 55-CA-XI/48/S-5/65, dated 4-3-1965 should also be fitted in one or the other categories of the Notification.

The same Trade Union issued a demand notice to another establishment M/S Om Parkash Bhartia Weaving Factory, Faridabad and the conciliation proceedings with regard to the same also failed. The Punjab Government referred the said dispute also for adjudication to the same Industrial Tribunal namely the Industrial Tribunal, Punjab, by their Notification No. 17-SF-III-Lab-65/1471, dated 17-1-1966. The two items of dispute as mentioned in the said notification are precisely the same as the items mentioned in the Notification referred to in the earlier part of this award. Since both the items of dispute were precisely the same in both the references, the parties suggested that the proceedings in the two references may be consolidated and the Tribunal agreed to do so.

Usual notices were issued to the parties in both the references and in response to the same the workmen filed their statement of claims and the management filed their written statement to the same in both the references. In the reference relating to M/S. Om Parkash Bhartia Weaving Factory, Faridabad, the management took two preliminary objections while in the case relating to Calcutta Cloth Mill and others, the management raised five preliminary objections, two of which had also been raised in the case of M/S. Om Parkash Weaving Factory, Faridabad. The pleadings of the parties gave rise to four issues in the reference relating to M/S. Om Parkash Weaving Factory, Faridabad, which is reference No. 8 of 1966 and seven in the other case relating to 17 Mills, which is reference No. 19

of 1966. Issues No. 4, 5, 6 and 7 in reference No. 19 of 1966 are precisely the same as the four issues in reference No. 8 of 1966 and it is, therefore, not necessary to state here in details the issues in reference No. 8 of 1966. Issues framed in reference No. 19 of 1966 are as under:

- (1) Whether the reference is bad for misjoinder of parties ? If so, its effect?
- (2) Whether there is no industrial dispute between the East India Cotton Manufacturing Co., (P) Ltd; and Power-Looms Owners Association and their respective workmen and, therefore, the reference to that extent is not maintainable?
- (3) Whether the names of the management mentioned at serial Nos. 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 of the reference are not correct? If so, what is its effect?
- (4) Whether the Textile Mazdoor Union at whose instance the present reference has been made had no authority to represent the workmen and to ask for the present reference? If so, what is its effect on the present reference?
- (5) Whether any settlements effected between the workmen and the managements concerned on 21st May, 1961 and 25th February, 1965 were made and if so, ? What were the terms of the settlement and what is their effect on the present reference?
- (6) Whether the workmen be paid wages according to the Punjab Government Notification No. 55-CA-IX/48/S. 5/65, dated 4th March, 1965? If so, with what details and from which date?
- (7) Whether the categories of workmen which are not covered under the Punjab Government Notification *aforesaid* should also be fitted in one or the other categories of the Notification, if so, with what details?

Parties were called upon to adduce their evidence in respect of the aforesaid issues but before evidence could conclude, Punjab Re-organisation Act came into force and under the provision of section 93 of the said Act both the cases stood transferred to this Tribunal.

The parties led before me their evidence in respect of the issues framed in both the references and their representatives also addressed their arguments to me. My findings on various issues are as follows:

Issue No.1 —The plea taken by the management is that one reference could not have been made with regard to 17 mills because 17 different demand notices had been issued one to each Mill and 17 different conciliation proceedings were taken in respect of the same. This plea is in my opinion devoid of force. It is admitted that the dispute in all the cases was identical. It is also admitted that the Conciliation Officer made one report in all the cases. Sub-section 5 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, specifically provides for the course which has been taken by the Government in the present case. I do not find my justification in the argument of the management that the said sub-section should also have been mentioned in the Notification making the reference. If the Government have power to do a thing it is immaterial whether or not the source of that power is actually mentioned in the notification by which the thing is done. I am supported in this view by a judgement of the Punjab High Court, in M.K. Textile Mills and others and Punjab State and others, 1962-I LLJ 560 where it was held:

“under the provision of section 10(5) of Industrial Disputes Act, a common order of reference can be made by appropriate Government referring a common dispute between various establishment (engaged in the same business) and their respective workmen”.

The issue is decided against the management.

Issue No. 2.—In their statement of claims the workmen have limited their case to two points (a) that pirn-winders are not being paid according to the Notification of the Punjab Government No. S.O. 55-CA/XI/48/S-5/65, dated 4th March, 1965 and (b) that the weavers working on double looms and weaving cotton cloth should be treated as highly skilled workmen and each of them should be paid the minimum wage of Rs 175 per month just as similar weavers working on Silk Cloth are to be paid according to the aforesaid Government Notification. It is admitted by the workmen that neither in the East India Cotton Manufacturing Co., (P) Ltd, Faridabad nor in Power Looms Owners Association, there are any weavers or pirn-winders. In fact it was conceded by Shri Satish Lomba who argued the case on behalf of the workmen that the Industrial Dispute in question did not exist between the workmen and the managements of the aforesaid two concerns. This issue is found in favour of the management.

Issue No. 3.—The plea of the management is that the names of the establishments mentioned at serial Nos. 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 in the Notifications making the reference have not been correctly stated. It is not denied that the names given in the Notification are substantially correct and it is also not denied that the same names were given in the conciliation proceedings but the management did not take any objection against the same in the said proceedings. There are some minor mistakes in the names but the substance of the matter is that the real parties are represented before me. The objection raised by the management is extremely technical and has no substance in it and the issue is, therefore, decided against the management.

Issue No. 4.—The case of the management is that the workmen of none of the 18 concerns (17 in reference No. 19 of 1966 and 1 in reference No. 8 of 1966) are members of the Textile Mazdoor Union and, therefore, the said union has no authority to raise the dispute in question. The management have failed to establish this plea. Nazir Mohmad the President of the said Union has appeared as a witness in reference No. 19 of 1966 as also in reference No. 8 of 1966. Shri Rajeshwar Parshad Secretary of the Union has appeared as a witness in reference No. 8 of 1966. Both have stated that the total number of workmen in all the 18 establishments in question is about 1,100 to 1,200 and out of them about 500 are members of the Textile Mazdoor Union. Both have also stated that the dispute in both the references had been raised at the instance of the said workmen and a regular resolution was passed by the union on the said point. It is true that the union has not produced the register of membership nor has it produced the copy of the resolution. The management on whom the onus lay did not require them to produce the said documents and did not press for production of the same at the time of cross-examination of the two witnesses referred to above. I have no reason to disbelieve the statements of these two witnesses more especially when I find that this objection was not taken by the management in the conciliation proceedings. The issue is accordingly decided against the management.

Issue No. 5.—The management rely on two settlements one of which is dated 21st May, 1961 and the second of which is dated 25th February, 1965. The first settlement was only made between the workmen and the managements of 12 of the 18 factories but the second settlement was made between the work men and the managements of all the 18 factories. The managements rely upon para 12 of the first settlement in which it is stated that the workmen shall not be entitled to raise any demand involving financial burden on the managements up to 31st May, 1963 except in respect of bonus. The plea taken is that the present demand involves financial burden and the workmen are not entitled to make the same. The demand had admittedly been made in 1966, i.e., much beyond the period upto which the workmen were debarred from making the demand. Moreover, this plea was not taken during the conciliation proceedings and the demand notice followed by the conciliation proceedings itself is enough to terminate the said settlement. The second settlement of 25th February, 1965 related only to bonus and dearness allowance and has no bearing at all on the dispute which has been raised in the two references now in question. The issue is accordingly decided against the management.

Issue No. 6.—According to the statement of claims and according to the arguments advanced before me the only point covered by this issue is whether the pirn-winders are not being paid their wages according to the notification mentioned in this issue. Mr. Jaswant Singh who represented the management in all the 18 cases categorically stated before me that all the pirn-winders in all the establishment in question excepting East India Cotton and Manufacturing (Co. P) Ltd; and Power Looms Owners Association, Faridabad, which do not admittedly employ any pirn-winder, are being paid according to the Notification of the Punjab Government. According to the Notification the Minimum wage fixed for a pirn-winder who has less than three years service is Rs 90 per month and the minimum wage for a pirn-winder who has three years service or more is Rs 100 per month. Mr. Jaswant Singh stated that all the concerns employing pirn-winders were paying wages as above. Mr. Loomba was not able to point out a single establishment in which wages were not being paid according to the Punjab Government Notification. I have no reason to disbelieve the statement of Mr. Jaswant Singh that all the establishments in question who employ pirn-winders are paying wages to them according to the notification of the Government but as a precautionary measure I direct that all the establishments in question who employ pirn-winders shall pay to them wages according to the Notification of the Punjab Government mentioned in this issue. If any pirn-winder has not been paid wages according to the Notification of the Punjab Government the management concerned will pay to him the said wages from the date of the demand notice issued to the said management and forming the subject-matter of the present reference. The arrear of these wages will be paid by the management in question within two months from the date of this award.

Issue No. 7.—It is admitted by the workmen that this issue relates only to the weavers working on double machines and weaving cotton cloth. This is clear even from the statement

of claims filed by the workmen. In the notification of the Punjab Government fixing the minimum wages "Weavers silkloom ordinary (Double loom)" are mentioned under clause (f) of highly skilled category. Their wages are fixed at Rs 175 per month. The plea taken by the workmen is that the weavers weaving cotton cloth and working on double loom were doing the same type of job and must therefore, be regarded as highly skilled and even though they are not so mentioned in the Notification of the Punjab Government they should be paid the same wages as weavers weaving the silk cloth on double loom. Their case is that if this is not done it would disturb the differential of wages and thereby also disturb the industrial peace itself. I regret I cannot accept this argument. There is no material on the record of either of the two references showing the comparative skill of the weavers weaving the cotton cloth and of the weavers weaving the silk cloth. Two experts namely Mr. V.K. Aggarwal and Mr. Yogindra Singh Nayyar have filed their affidavits showing that the skill of the weavers weaving cotton cloth is much less than those of the weavers weaving silk cloth and both of them have given substantial reasons in support of this assertion. The former is a Bachelor of Science (B.Sc.) Bachelor of Textiles (B. Text), Licenciate of the Textile Institute of Manchester (L.T.I. Manchester) and Fellow of the Royal Society of Arts, London (F.R.S.A.). He has experience of about 11 years and has worked in Finlay Mills, Bombay and Arvind Group of Mills at Ahmedabad and in Dunbar Cotton Mills, Calcutta. For the last 7 years he had been working in Fariqabad, where he is the Secretary (Technical) of the Faridabad Powerloom Owners' Association. The latter is Bachelor of Textile (B. Text) of Delhi University and has worked in Textile Mills at Ahmedabad and at other places and has a fair amount of experience. The workmen have not been able to rebut this evidence which in my opinion is reliable and weighty. After the conclusion of the arguments Mr. Loomba who represented the workmen filed an application before me asking me to appoint an assessor for getting his opinion on this point. No sufficient cause was made out for this purpose and I, therefore, rejected the said application. In absence of any proper material I am wholly unable to hold that the weavers working on double looms and weaving cotton cloth should get the same minimum wage as the weavers working on double looms and weaving silk cloth do under the Punjab Government notification. If the workmen have got a just claim on this point the proper course for them is to approach the Government and to ask for an amendment of their notification by including weavers working on double looms and weaving cotton cloth in the same category in which similar weavers weaving silk cloth have been placed. The issue in the circumstances is decided against the workmen. As I have already stated issue 4 to 7 in reference No. 19 of 1966 are precisely the same as issue No. 1 to 4 in reference No. 8 of 1966. My findings on the said issues must be treated also to be the findings on issue No. 1 to 4 in reference No. 8 of 1966. Both the demands of the workmen in both the references are dismissed. This award will be treated as an award in both the references. No order as to costs.

K. L. GOSAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Chandigarh.

No. IT/818, dated Chandigarh, the 15th July, 1967.

The award be submitted to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required by Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 14th July, 1967

K. L. GOSAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Chandigarh.

S. L. PURI,
Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

URBAN ESTATES

The 29th July, 1967

No. 2122/LAO. —Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for planned development in Tehsil Ballabgarh, district Gurgaon, it is hereby declared that the land described in specification below is required for the afore said purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act 1 of 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh and Estate Officer, Urban Estates Department of Town and Country Planning, Faridabad, district Gurgaon.

In view of the urgency of acquisition, viz, the early development of Industrial Area within the Ballabgarh Faridabad Controlled area for which there is a pressing and urgent demand, the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers, under Section 17 of the said Act, is further pleased to direct that the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh shall proceed to take possession of the land herein specified in accordance therewith.

SPECIFICATIONS

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village, Hadbast No.	Area in acres.	Khasra Nos.
Gurgaon	Ballabgarh	Gaunchhi;	65.06	12
		26		22/1; 19/1, 23/1, 23/2, 12.
			24	14
				2/1; 3/1; 3/2, 4, 5, 6/1, 14
				6/2; 7/1, 7/2, 8/1; 8/2, 14
				9/1, 12/1/1, 12/2, 13, 14/1, 14
				14/2, 15, 16, 17, 18/1, 14
				18/2, 19/1/1, 22/1/1, 22/2/1 14
				23, 24/1, 24/2, 25/1, 25/2, 14
			26	29
				2/1, 3/1, 3/2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9/1, 29
				9/2/1, 9/3/1, 9/4/1, 12/1/1, 29
				12/2/1, 13, 14, 19/1/1, 29
				17, 18, 19/3/1, 22/1 30
				2/1, 3, 8, 9/1, 12/1, 13, 30
				14, 16, 17, 18, 19/1, 23/1, 30
				24, 25, 45
				3/1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8/1, 13/1/1

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Khasra Nos. 780
Gurgaon—concl'd			45	
			13/2, 14, 15, 16, 17/1, 45	
			17/2, 18/1, 23/1, 24, 25	
			46	
			1, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21, 46	
			22	
			47	
			1, 2, 9/1, 9/2, 10, 11, 12, 47	
			13, 19, 20/1, 21/2, 22/1	
			48	
			3/1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9/1, 48	
			12/2/1, 13/1, 14, 15, 16/1, 48	
			15/1, 154/1, 178/1.	

No. 2123/L.A.O.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for planned development in tehsil Ballabgarh, district Gurgaon, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions or section 7 of the said Act the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh and Estate Office, Urban Estates Department of Town and Country Planning, Faridabad, district Gurgaon.

In view of the urgency of acquisition, viz, the early development of Industrial Area within the Ballabgarh-Faridabad Controlled area for which there is a pressing and urgent demand, the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers under section 17 of the said Act, is further pleased to direct that the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh shall proceed to take possession of the land herein specified in accordance therewith.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Gurgaon	Ballabgarh	Majessar 79	137.86	34
			7, 8, 9, 11/2/1, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 34	
			17, 18, 19, 20/1, 21/1, 22, 23, 24, 34	
			25, 26	

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Gurgaon—contd			35	
			20, 21, 22/1	
			44	
			1/1, 1/2, 2/1/1, 9/2, 10, 11, 12/1,	
			44	
			19/2, 20, 21, 22/1	
			45	
			1/2/3, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6/1, 6/2, 7, 8, 9,	
			45	
			10/1, 11/2/1, 12, 13/1, 13/2, 14,	
			45	
			15, 16, 17/1, 17/2, 18, 19/1	
			45	
			22/1, 23, 24, 25	
			48	
			2/1, 3, 4, 5/1, 5/2, 6/1, 6/2, 7, 8,	
			48	
			9/1, 12/1, 13, 14, 15, 16/1,	
			48	
			16/2/1, 17/1, 17/2/1, 18/1, 19/1,	
			48	
			21/2/1/2, 22/3, 22/1, 23/1, 23/3,	
			48	
			24/1, 25/1, 26, 27	
			49	
			1/1, 1/2, 2/1, 9/1/1, 9/2/1, 10, 11,	
			49	
			12/1/1, 12/2/1, 19/1/1, 20/1/1,	
			49	
			21/1/1, 21/2, 20/2/1, 22/2, 28/1	
			58	
			1, 2/1, 2/2/2, 9/1/2, 9/2, 10, 11/1, 11/2,	
			58	
			12/1, 12/2/1, 12/3, 19/2, 20/1, 20/2,	
			58	
			21/1, 21/2, 22/1, 22/2, 23/2	
			59	
			1/2/2, 2, 3, 4, 5/1, 5/2, 6, 7, 8, 9,	
			59	
			10/2, 12, 13, 14/1, 14/2, 14/3,	

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.	762
Gurgaon—concl'd			59		
			15/1, 15/2, 16, 17/1, 17/2, 17/3, 18,		
			59		
			19/2, 22/2, 23/1, 23/2, 24/1, 24/2,		
			59		
			25/1, 25/2, 25/3, 26		
			64		
			2/2, 3, 4, 5/1, 5/2, 6/1, 6/2, 7, 8/1,		
			64		
			8/2, 9/2, 12/2, 13, 14/1, 14/2, 15,		
			64		
			16, 17/1, 17/2, 18/1, 18/2, 19/2,		
			64		
			22, 23/1, 23/2, 24, 25, 26		
			65		
			1, 2, 3/1, 8/2, 9/1, 9/2, 10, 11, 12/1,		
			65		
			12/2, 13/1, 18/1/2, 18/2/1, 19, 20,		
			65		
			21, 22, 23/1, 26		
			72		
			1, 2, 3/1/1, 3/2/1, 8/2, 9, 10, 13/1		
			73		
			5, 4, 75/1, 80/1, 81,		
			90/1, 91, 93/1, 94/2, 95/2, 97/2,		
			99/2, 109		

No. 2124/LAO.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for planned development in tehsil Ballabgarh, district Gurgaon, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act 1 of 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh and Estate Officer, Urban Estates Department of Town and Country Planning, Faridabad, district Gurgaon.

In view of the urgency of acquisition, viz., the early development of Industrial Area within the Ballabgarh-Faridabad Controlled area for which there is a pressing and urgent demand, the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers under section 17 of the said Act, is further pleased to direct that the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh shall proceed to take possession of the land herein specified in accordance therewith,

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Gurgaon	Ballabgarh	Ballabgarh 78	69.52	9
				1, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13/2, 18/2,
				9 10
				19, 20, 21, 22, 23/2 6, 15, 16, 25
				11
				5, 6, 7, 13, 14/1, 14/2, 15, 16, 17,
				11
				18, 19/1, 22/1, 23, 24, 25
				12
				1/1, 1/2, 2, 3/2, 8/2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13/2,
				12
				18/2, 19, 20/1, 20/2, 21, 22, 23/2, 26
				23
				1, 2, 3/2, 8/2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13/1/2, 13/2/2,
				23
				18/1/2, 18/2/2, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23/2
				24
				3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16, 17, 25, 26,
				25 26
				5, 1, 2, 3/1/2, 8/2, 9, 10, 12, 13/2,
				26
				17/2, 18/1, 18/2, 19/1, 19/2, 22, 23/1, 23/2/2
				26 38
				24/2, 26, 2, 3/2, 3/2/2, 8/2, 9, 10, 12,
				38
				13/2, 18/2, 19, 22/1, 23/2, 208/2, 16672

No. 2126/LAO.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for road on the east of Sector No. Fifteen, in Ballabgarh-Faridabad Controlled Area, in village Faridabad, tehsil Ballabgarh, district Gurgaon, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act I of 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, and Estate Officer, Urban Estates Department of Town and Country Planning, Faridabad, district Gurgaon.

In view of the urgency of acquisition, viz., relieving of acute and pressing demand for housing in Faridabad-Ballabgarh belt, due to large scale development of industry in that area, the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers under section 17 of the said Act, is further pleased to direct that the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, shall proceed to take possession of the land herein specified in accordance therewith.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Gurgaon	Ballabgarh	Faridabad, 123	9.92	798/1/1, 801/1, 802/1/1, 803/1, 806/1/1, 807/1/1, 856/1/1, 903/1, 904/1/1, 905/1/1, 906/1, 910/1, 911/1, 912/1, 913/1, 914/1, 2095/915/1, 2096/915/1, 916/1, 917/1, 918/1, 919, 920/1, 921/1, 922/1, 923/1

No. 2127/LAO.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for road on the East of Sector No Fifteen, in Ballabgarh-Faridabad Controlled Area, in village Ajronda, tehsil Ballabgarh, district Gurgaon, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act I of 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, and Estate Officer Urban Estates Department of Town and Country Planning, Faridabad, district Gurgaon.

In view of the urgency of acquisition, viz., relieving of acute and pressing demand for housing in Faridabad-Ballabgarh belt, due to large scale development of industry in that area, the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers under section 17 of the said Act, is further pleased to direct that the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, shall proceed to take possession of the land herein specified in accordance therewith.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village and Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Gurgaon	Ballabgarh	Ajronda, 118	1.62	46
				1/1, 10/1, 11/1, 20/1, 21/1/1
				47
				1/1/1, 1/2/1, 10/1/1, 11/1/1

No. 2129/LAO.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for planned development in Ballabgarh-Faridabad Controlled Area in tehsil Ballabgarh, district Gurgaon, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act I of 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

78
 Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh and Estate Officer, Urban Estates Department of Town and Country Planning, Faridabad, district Gurgaon.

In view of the urgency of acquisition viz., relieving of acute and pressing demand for housing in Faridabad-Billabgarh belt, due to large scale development of industry in that area, the Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers under section 17 of the said Act, is further pleased to direct that the Land Acquisition Collector Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, shall proceed to take possession of the land herein specified in accordance therewith.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Gurgaon	Ballabgarh	Faridabad, 123	248.30	332/1; 358/1; 359; 360/1; 370; 371 ; 372; 373; 374; 375; 376; 377; 378; 379; 380; 381; 382; 383; 384; 385; 386; 387; 388; 389; 390; 391; 392; 393; 394; 395; 397; 398; 399; 400; 401; 402; 403; 404; 405; 409; 411; 412; 413; 414; 415; 2020/416; 2021/416; 2022/416; 417; 418; 419; 420; 1968/421; 1969/421; 1970/421; 422; 423; 424; 425; 426; 427; 428; 429; 430; 431; 432; 433; 434; 435; 436; 437; 438; 439; 440; 441; 442; 443; 444; 445; 446; 447; 448; 449; 450; 1883/451; 1884/451; 1885/451; 1886/451; 1887/451; 1888/451/1; 461/1; 462; 463; 464; 465; 466; 467; 468; 469; 470; 471; 472; 473; 474; 475; 476; 477; 1891/478; 1892/478; 1893/478; 1894/478; 1895/478; 1896/478; 479; 480; 481; 482; 483; 484; 485; 486; 487; 488; 489; 490; 491; 492; 493; 494; 495; 496; 497; 498; 499; 500; 501; 502; 503; 504; 505; 506; 507; 508; 509; 510; 511; 512; 513; 514; 515; 516; 517; 518; 519; 520; 521; 522; 523; 524; 525; 526; 527; 528; 529; 530; 531; 532; 533; 534; 535; 536; 537; 538; 539; 540; 541; 542; 543; 544; 545; 546; 1897/547; 1898; 547; 549; 550; 551; 552; 553; 554; 555; 556; 2030/557; 2031/557; 558; 559; 561; 562; 563; 564; 565; 566; 567; 568; 569; 570; 571; 572; 573; 574; 575; 576; 577; 580; 581; 582; 583; 584; 585; 586; 587; 588; 589; 590; 591; 592; 593; 594; 595; 596; 597; 598; 599; 600; 601; 602; 603; 604; 605; 606; 607; 608; 609; 610; 611; 612; 613; 614; 615; 616; 617; 618; 619; 620; 621; 622; 623; 624; 625; 626; 627; 628; 629; 1982/630; 1983/630; 2089/631; 2090/631; 632; 633; 634; 635; 636; 637; 638; 639; 640; 641; 642; 643; 1899/644; 1900/644/1; 1901/645; 1902/645; 646; 647/1; 648/1; 649/1; 650/1; 652/1; 654/1; 655/1; 656; 657; 658; 659; 660; 661; 662; 1949/663; 1950/663; 1951/663; 664; 665; 1943/666; 1944/666; 1945/666; 667; 668; 669; 670; 671; 672; 673; 674; 675; 676; 677; 678; 679; 680; 681; 862; 683; 684; 685; 686; 687; 688; 689; 690; 691; 692; 693; 694; 695; 696; 697; 698 699; 700; 701; 702; 703; 704; 705; 706; 1903/707; 1904/707; 1905/707; 708; 709; 710; 711; 712/1; 713; 714; 715; 716/1; 718/1; 719/1 720; 721; 722; 723/1; 726/1; 727/1; 731/1; 757/1; 758/1; 759/1; 760; 761; 762; 763;

786

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village, Hadbast No.	Area acres	Khasra Nos.
Gurgaon—concl'd				764; 765; 766; 767; 768; 769; 1971/ 770; 1972/770; 1973/770; 771; 772; 773; 774; 775; 776; 777; 778; 779; 780; 781; 782; 783/1; 784/1; 785; 786; 787; 788/1; 789; 790/1; 794/1; 795/1; 796/1; 797/1; 798/1/2/1; 1994/ 799; 1995/799; 1996/799/1; 800/1; 812/1; 1197/1; 1198/1; 1199; 1200; 1201; 1202/1; 1203; 1204/1; 1206/1; 1207/1; 1208; 1209; 1210; 1211/1; 1212/1; 1213; 1214; 1215; 1216/1; 1217/1; 1218/1; 1229/2; 1246/1; 1247/1; 1248/1; 1293/1.

No. 2130/L.A.O.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for planned development in Ballabgarh-Faridabad Controlled area, in tehsil Ballabgarh, district Gurgaon, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act I of 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, and Estate Officer, Urban Estates Department of Town & Country Planning, Faridabad, district Gurgaon.

In view of the urgency of acquisition, viz. relieving of acute and pressing demand for housing in Faridabad-Ballabgarh belt, due to large-scale development of industry in that area, the Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers under section 17 of the said Act, is further pleased to direct that the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Urban Estates, Haryana, Chandigarh, shall proceed to take possession of the land herein specified in accordance therewith.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Khasra No.
Gurgaon	Ballabgarh	Ajronda 118	31.06	2
				1, 19, 20/1, 20/2, 20/3, 21/1, 21/2, 21/3, 2
				2/4, 22, 26
				3
				1, 14/2, 16/1, 16/2, 17/1/1, 25/1/2, 25/2
				7
				5/2, 5/2, 6/1, 15/2, 16/1, 25/2, 27
				8
				1/1, 1/2, 1/3, 2, 10/1, 10/2, 11/2, 11/1, 8
				1, 20/1, 20/2, 21/1, 21/2, 22, 23, 24
				10
				1, 1/2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10/1, 10/2, 11/1, 10
				1/2, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17/1, 18/1, 19/1, 20/1
				11
				5/2, 6/1/1, 6/2/1, 15/2, 16/1/1, 90/1, 96/1, 80/1, 181